arXiv:1601.03798v1 [math.GN] 15 Jan 2016

METRIZABLE DH-SPACES WITH A DENSE COMPLETE SUBSET

SERGEY MEDVEDEV

Abstract. It is proved that for an h-homogeneous space X the following conditions are equivalent: 1) X is a densely homogeneous space with a dense complete subspace; 2) X is σ-discretely controlled.

All spaces under discussion are metrizable and strongly zero-dimensional.

In 2005, M. Hrušák and B. Z. Avilés [1, Theorem 2.3] proved that every analytic CDH-space is completely Baire. It is therefore of interest to construct a non-complete CDH-space with a dense complete subset. It was realized by R. Hernández-Gutiérrez, M. Hrušák, and J. van Mill [2, Corollary 4.6] and A. Medini [3, Theorem 7.3]. They shown that if a homogeneous space X with a dense complete subset can be embedded in the Cantor set 2^ω by a special way, then X is CDH. Moreover, Medini [3, Theorem 9.4] proved that X^ω is CDH if X is a countably controlled space. We suggest a criterion for checking the CDH-property for a space without its embedding into the Cantor set. A similar result is obtained for non-separable spaces. Notice that the following characterization of non-Baire densely homogeneous h-homogeneous spaces was given by Medvedev [4, Theorem 6]:

Theorem 1. *Let X be a non-σ-discrete h-homogeneous space. Then X is a densely homogeneous space of the first category if and only if every σ-discrete subset of X is a G_δ-set in X.*

For all undefined terms and notations see [5].

We shall say that a space X is *h-homogeneous* if $\operatorname{Ind} X = 0$ and every non-empty clopen subset of X is homeomorphic to X (this term was first used by Ostrovsky [6]). By a *complete* space we mean a completely metrizable space, or an absolute G_δ-space. A separable topological space X is *countable dense homogeneous* (briefly, CDH) if, given any two countable dense subsets A and B of X, there is a homeomorphism $h\colon X \to X$ such that $h(A) = B$. Similarly, a space X is *densely homogeneous* (briefly, DH) if, given any two σ-discrete dense subsets A and B of X, there is a homeomorphism $h\colon X \to X$ such that $h(A) = B$.

Medini [3] says that a separable space X is *countably controlled* if for every countable $D \subset X$ there exists a Polish subspace G of X such that $D \subset G$. Similarly, we shall say that a space X is *σ-discretely controlled* if for every σ-discrete $D \subset X$ there exists a completely metrizable subspace G of X such that $D \subset G$. Clearly, every σ-discretely controlled space

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 54H05, 54E52.

Key words and phrases. h-homogeneous space, densely homogeneous space, CDH-space, KR-cover.

has a dense complete subspace because a metrizable space always contains a dense σ-discrete subspace.

If $\mathcal{U}$ is a family of sets, then $\bigcup\mathcal{U} = \bigcup\{U\colon U \in \mathcal{U}\}$.

We shall use technique from [7] which based on the following lemma.

Lemma 1. *Let X_i be a metrizable space with* $\mathrm{Ind}X_i = 0$ *and F_i is a nowhere dense closed subset of X_i, where $i \in \{1,2\}$. Let $f\colon F_1 \to F_2$ be a homeomorphism.*

Then there exist a σ-discrete (in X_i) cover $\mathcal{V}_i$ of $X_i \setminus F_i$ by non-empty pairwise disjoint clopen subsets of X_i, $i \in \{1,2\}$, and a bijection $\psi\colon \mathcal{V}_1 \to \mathcal{V}_2$ such that for any subsets $D_1 \subseteq \bigcup\mathcal{V}_1$ and $D_2 \subseteq \bigcup\mathcal{V}_2$ and any bijection $g\colon D_1 \to D_2$ satisfying $g(D_1 \cap V) = D_2 \cap \psi(V)$ for every $V \in \mathcal{V}_1$, the combination $f \triangledown g\colon F_1 \cup D_1 \to F_2 \cup D_2$ is continuous at each point of F_1 and its inverse $(f \triangledown g)^{-1}$ is continuous at each point of F_2.

Under the conditions of Lemma , we say [8] that:

1) the cover $\mathcal{V}_i$ *forms a residual family* with respect to F_i, where $i \in \{1,2\}$,

2) the bijection $\psi\colon \mathcal{V}_1 \to \mathcal{V}_2$ is *agreed to* f.

Remark 1. A construction similar to Lemma was used by B. Knaster and M. Reichbach [9], R. Hernández-Gutiérrez, M. Hrušák, and J. van Mill [2], and A. Medini [3] for separable spaces and by A. V. Ostrovsky [6] and the author [10] for non-separable spaces. The last two papers were written in Russian, therefore the proof of Lemma was also given in [8].

Independently Lemma was obtained by F. van Engelen [11, Theorem 2.3] for weight-homogeneous spaces. In his notation, the triple $\langle \mathcal{V}_1, \mathcal{V}_2, \psi\rangle$ is called a *Knaster-Reichbach cover*, or a KR-cover, for $\langle X_1 \setminus F_1, X_2 \setminus F_2, f\rangle$.

The main theorem

Theorem 2. *Let X be an h-homogeneous space. Then X is a densely homogeneous space with a dense complete subspace if and only if X is σ-discretely controlled.*

Proof. We first verify that if X is a densely homogeneous space, then X is σ-discretely controlled. Take a σ-discrete set $D \subset X$ and expand it to a dense σ-discrete set $D^* \subset X$. Under the conditions of the theorem X has a dense complete subspace G; hence there is a dense σ-discrete set $A \subset G$. Let us choose a homeomorphism $f\colon X \to X$ such that $f(A) = D^*$. Then D lies entirely within the completely metrizable space $f(G)$.

Now we shall prove that a σ-discretely controlled space X is densely homogeneous.

To begin, assume additionally that X is a completely metrizable space.

Clearly, every completely metrizable space is σ-discretely controlled. The statement is trivial if X is a point. By virtue of [5, Exercise 4.3.H], the theorem holds if X is the Cantor set or the space of irrationals. According to [10, Theorem 5.4] (see also [7, Corollary 2]) the Baire space $B(k)$ of weight k is densely homogeneous. There is no another h-homogeneous complete spaces.

Next, consider the main case when X is not completely metrizable.

Fix a metric ϱ on X which is bounded by 1.

Take two σ-discrete dense subsets A and B of X.

According to the Dowker-Hurewicz theorem (see [5, Theorem 7.3.1]) there exists a sequence $\mathcal{U}_0,\mathcal{U}_1,\dots$ of discrete clopen covers of X such that $\mathcal{U}_{n+1}$ is a refinement of $\mathcal{U}_n$ for each n and the family $\mathcal{U} = \{U \in \mathcal{U}_n\colon n \in \omega\}$ forms a base for X. For each $U \in \mathcal{U}$ fix a homeomorphism $\varphi_U\colon X \to U$. One can verify that the sets $A^* = A \cup \bigcup\{\varphi_U(A)\colon U \in \mathcal{U}\}$ and $B^* = B \cup \bigcup\{\varphi_U(B)\colon U \in \mathcal{U}\}$ are σ-discrete. Then the set $A^* \cup B^*$ is σ-discrete. Since X is σ-discretely controlled, there exists a complete subspace $G \subset X$ containing $A^* \cup B^*$. Clearly, G is dense in the h-homogeneous space X. The Stone theorem [12, Theorem 1] implies that there is a homeomorphism $\chi\colon B(\tau) \to G$, where $\tau = w(X)$. Fix the standard metric on the Baire space $B(\tau)$. By construction, $\varphi_U(F) \cap (A \cup B) = \emptyset$ for any $U \in \mathcal{U}$ and $F \subset X \setminus (A^* \cup B^*)$.

Let $A = \bigcup\{A_n\colon n \in \omega\}$ and $B = \bigcup\{B_n\colon n \in \omega\}$, where each A_n, B_n is a closed discrete subset of X, and $X \setminus G = \bigcup\{X_n\colon n \in \omega\}$, where each X_n is a closed nowhere dense subset of X.

We will construct a homeomorphism $f\colon X \to X$ satisfying $f(A) = B$ by induction on n. For each $n \in \omega$ we will define a homeomorphism $f_n\colon X \to X$, closed nowhere dense sets F_n and E_n, a KR-cover $\langle \mathcal{V}_n, \mathcal{W}_n, \psi_n\rangle$ for $\langle X \setminus F_n, X \setminus E_n, f_n|_{F_n}\rangle$ such that:

(a) $f_n(F_n) = E_n$,

(b) $F_n \cup X_n \cup A_n \subset F_{n+1}$,

(c) $E_n \cup X_n \cup B_n \subset E_{n+1}$,

(d) $f_n(A \cap F_n) = B \cap E_n$,

(e)$f_m(x) = f_n(x)$ for each $x \in F_n$ whenever $m \geq n$,

(f) $\mathcal{V}_{n+1}$ refines $\mathcal{V}_n$ and $\mathcal{W}_{n+1}$ refines $\mathcal{W}_n$,

(g) if $V \in \mathcal{V}_n$ and $V^* \in \mathcal{V}_m$ for $n < m$, then $V^* \subset V$ if and only if $\psi_m(V^*) \subset \psi_n(V)$,

(h) $\operatorname{mesh}(\mathcal{V}_n) \leq 1/(n+1)$ and $\operatorname{mesh}(\mathcal{W}_n) \leq 1/(n+1)$,

(i) $\operatorname{diam}(\chi^{-1}(U \cap G)) \leq 1/(n+1)$ for each $U \in \mathcal{V}_n \cup \mathcal{W}_n$.

For $n = 0$, let $F_0 = E_0 = \emptyset$, $\mathcal{V}_0 = \mathcal{W}_0 = \{X\}$, $\psi_0(X) = X$, and $f_0(x) = x$.

Now let us consider the induction step $n+1$ for some $n \in \omega$.

First, fix $V \in \mathcal{V}_n$ and $W = \psi_n(V) \in \mathcal{W}_n$. Let $Y = V \cap X_m$, where m is the least i with $V \cap X_i \neq \emptyset$, and $Z = W \cap X_l$, where l is the least i with $W \cap X_i \neq \emptyset$.

The open set $V \setminus Y$ contains the set $V \cap G$. Then for each point $x \in V \cap G$ we can find its neighborhood $U_x \in \mathcal{U}_\ell$ such that $U_x \subset V \setminus Y$, $\operatorname{diam}(U_x) \leq 1/(n+2)$, $\operatorname{diam}(\chi^{-1}(U_x \cap G)) \leq 1/(n+2)$, and ℓ is the least suitable index. The family $\mathcal{V}_V^* = \{U_x\colon x \in V \cap G\}$ consists of pairwise disjoint clopen subsets of X, $\mathcal{V}_V^* \subset \mathcal{U}$, and $V \cap G \subset \bigcup \mathcal{V}_V^* \subset V \setminus Y$. Clearly,

$Y \subset V \setminus \bigcup \mathcal{V}_V^*$. Note that $V \setminus \bigcup \mathcal{V}_V^*$ is a closed nowhere dense subset of V because the set $V \cap G$ is dense in V.

Likewise, choose a family $\mathcal{W}_V^* = \{U_x \colon x \in W \cap G\}$ such that $\mathcal{W}_V^*$ consists of pairwise disjoint clopen subsets of X, $\mathcal{W}_V^* \subset \mathcal{U}$, $\operatorname{diam}(U) \le 1/(n+2)$, $\operatorname{diam}(\chi^{-1}(U \cap G)) \le 1/(n+2)$ for each $U \in \mathcal{W}_V^*$, and $W \cap G \subset \bigcup \mathcal{W}_V^* \subset W \setminus Z$.

Since X is locally of weight τ, there exists a closed discrete set $A' \subset A \cap V$ of cardinality τ such that $A_m \cap V \subset A'$, where m is the least i with $V \cap A_i \neq \emptyset$. The union $Y \cup A'$ is a closed nowhere dense subset of V. Hence V contains a set $U \in \mathcal{V}_V^*$ such that $U \cap (Y \cup A') = \emptyset$. Similarly, choose a closed discrete set $B' \subset B \cap W$ of cardinality τ such that $B_l \cap W \subset B'$, where l is the least i with $W \cap B_i \neq \emptyset$. Then W contains a set $O \in \mathcal{W}_V^*$ which misses $Z \cup B'$. Fix a bijection $g \colon A' \to B'$.

By construction, A', $V \setminus \bigcup \mathcal{V}_V^*$, and $\varphi_U(W \setminus \bigcup \mathcal{W}_V^*)$ are disjoint closed nowhere dense subsets of V. Similarly, B', $W \setminus \bigcup \mathcal{W}_V^*$, and $\varphi_O(V \setminus \bigcup \mathcal{V}_V^*)$ are disjoint closed nowhere dense subsets of W. Put

$$F_V = A' \cup (V \setminus \bigcup \mathcal{V}_V^*) \cup \varphi_U(W \setminus \bigcup \mathcal{W}_V^*),$$
$$E_V = B' \cup (W \setminus \bigcup \mathcal{W}_V^*) \cup \varphi_O(V \setminus \bigcup \mathcal{V}_V^*).$$

Notice that $A \cap F_V = A'$ and $B \cap E_V = B'$.

Define the homeomorphism $f_V \colon F_V \to E_V$ by setting

$$f_V(x) = \begin{cases} g(x) & \text{if } x \in A', \\ \varphi_O(x) & \text{if } x \in V \setminus \bigcup \mathcal{V}_V^*, \\ (\varphi_U)^{-1}(x) & \text{if } x \in \varphi_U(W \setminus \bigcup \mathcal{W}_V^*). \end{cases}$$

By Lemma , there exists a KR-cover $\langle \mathcal{V}_V, \mathcal{W}_V, \psi_V \rangle$ for $\langle V \setminus F_V, W \setminus E_V, f_V \rangle$. By construction, $\bigcup \mathcal{V}_V = \bigcup \mathcal{V}_V^* \setminus A'$ and $\bigcup \mathcal{W}_V = \bigcup \mathcal{W}_V^* \setminus B'$. By reducing $\mathcal{V}_V$ and $\mathcal{W}_V$ to fragments if it is necessary, we can obtain that $\operatorname{diam}(\chi^{-1}(U \cap G)) \le 1/(n+2)$ for each $U \in \mathcal{V}_V \cup \mathcal{W}_V$, $\mathcal{V}_V \subset \mathcal{U}$, and $\mathcal{W}_V \subset \mathcal{U}$.

Repeat this construction for each $V \in \mathcal{V}_n$. It is not hard to check that $F_{n+1} = F_n \cup \bigcup\{F_V \colon V \in \mathcal{V}_n\}$ and $E_{n+1} = E_n \cup \bigcup\{E_V \colon V \in \mathcal{V}_n\}$ are closed nowhere dense subsets of X. From Lemma it follows that the mapping

$$f_{n+1}(x) = \begin{cases} f_V(x) & \text{if } x \in F_V \text{ for some } V \in \mathcal{V}_n, \\ f_n(x) & \text{if } x \in F_n \end{cases}$$

is a homeomorphism between F_{n+1} and E_{n+1}.

Define $\mathcal{V}_{n+1} = \{U \in \mathcal{V}_V \colon V \in \mathcal{V}_n\}$ and $\mathcal{W}_{n+1} = \{\mathcal{W}_V \colon V \in \mathcal{V}_n\}$. Then $\psi_{n+1} = \bigcup\{\psi_V \colon V \in \mathcal{V}_n\}$ is a bijection between $\mathcal{V}_{n+1}$ and $\mathcal{W}_{n+1}$ which is agreed to f_{n+1}. Thus, $\langle \mathcal{V}_{n+1}, \mathcal{W}_{n+1}, \psi_{n+1} \rangle$ is a KR-cover for $\langle X \setminus F_{n+1}, X \setminus E_{n+1}, f_{n+1} \rangle$.

It remains to extend the mapping f_{n+1} from F_{n+1} to the space X. Both sets $U \in \mathcal{V}_{n+1}$ and $\psi_{n+1}(U) \in \mathcal{W}_{n+1}$ are clopen in the h-homogeneous space X. Choose a homeomorphism

$g_U\colon U \to \psi_{n+1}(U)$ and put $f_{n+1}(x) = g_U(x)$ if $x \in U \in \mathcal{V}_{n+1}$. From Lemma it follows that $f_{n+1}\colon X \to X$ is a homeomorphism.

One can check that all conditions (a)–(i) are satisfied. This completes the induction step.

Let $F = \bigcup\{F_n\colon n \in \omega\}$ and $E = \bigcup\{E_n\colon n \in \omega\}$. If $x \in F_n$ for some $n \in \omega$, set $f(x) = f_n(x)$. Condition (e) implies that this rule define a bijection between F and E. Moreover, from conditions (c) and (d) it follows that $f(A) = B$. For every point $x \in X \setminus F \subset G$ and every $n \in \omega$ there exists the unique clopen set $U_n^x \in \mathcal{V}_n$ that contains x. Clearly, $x = \bigcap\{U_n^x\colon n \in \omega\}$. By virtue of the Cantor theorem [5, Theorem 4.3.8] and condition (i), the intersection $\bigcap\{\chi^{-1}(G \cap \psi_n(U_n^x)) : n \in \omega\}$ is non-empty and consists of a point $z \in B(\tau)$. Condition (h) implies that the intersection $\bigcap\{\psi_n(U_n^x)\colon n \in \omega\}$ coincides with the point $\chi(z)$. Clearly, $\chi(z) \in X\setminus E$. Set $f(x) = \chi(z)$. Likewise, every point $y \in X\setminus E$ can be represented as $\bigcap\{U_n^y\colon n \in \omega\}$, where each $U_n^y \in \mathcal{W}_n$. Then $y = f(x_0)$ for $x_0 = \bigcap\{\psi_n^{-1}(U_n^y)\colon n \in \omega\} \in X \setminus F$. Hence, we obtain the mapping $f\colon X \to X$ such that $f(X) = X$. One easily verifies that f is a bijection.

From the definition of a KR-cover it follows that f is continuous on F and f^{-1} is continuous on E. Condition (h) implies that the sequence $\{U_n^x\colon n \in \omega\}$ is a base at the point $x \in X \setminus F$ and the sequence $\{U_n^y\colon n \in \omega\}$ is a base at the point $y \in X \setminus E$. By construction, if $y = f(x)$ then $f(U_n^x) = \varphi_n(U_n^x) = U_n^y$. From this it follows that f is continuous on $X \setminus F$ and f^{-1} is continuous on $X \setminus E$. Hence, f is a homeomorphism. □

Corollary 1. *Every countably controlled h-homogeneous space is* CDH.

Let us show that an h-homogeneous σ-discretely controlled space satisfies a strengthening version of dense homogeneity.

Theorem 3. *Let X be an h-homogeneous σ-discretely controlled space. Suppose that $\{A_n\colon n \in \omega\}$ and $\{B_n\colon n \in \omega\}$ are two families of σ-discrete dense subsets of X such that $A_i \cap A_j = \emptyset$ and $B_i \cap B_j = \emptyset$ whenever $i \neq j$. Then there is a homeomorphism $f\colon X \to X$ such that $f(A_n) = B_n$ for each $n \in \omega$.*

Proof. The proof is similar to the corresponding part of the proof of Theorem . We only point out the changes and omit like details. Use the notation from the proof of Theorem .

For each $n \in \omega$ let $A_n = \bigcup\{A_n^i\colon i \in \omega\}$ and $B_n = \bigcup\{B_n^i\colon i \in \omega\}$, where A_n^i and B_n^i are closed discrete subsets of X. Define $A = \bigcup\{A_n\colon n \in \omega\}$ and $B = \bigcup\{B_n\colon n \in \omega\}$. Put

$$A^* \cup B^* = (A \cup B) \cup \bigcup\{\varphi_U(A \cup B)\colon U \in \mathcal{U}\}.$$

Fix a complete subspace $G \subset X$ containing the σ-discrete set $A^* \cup B^*$.

For each $n \in \omega$ we will construct a homeomorphism $f_n\colon X \to X$ satisfying conditions (a)–(i), but conditions (b)–(d) will be replaced by the following ones:

(b*) $F_n \cup X_n \cup \bigcup\{A_n^i\colon i \le n\} \subset F_{n+1}$,

(c*) $E_n \cup X_n \cup \bigcup\{B_n^i\colon i \le n\} \subset E_{n+1}$.

(d*) $f_n(A_j \cap F_n) = B_j \cap E_n$ for each $j \le n$.

For this, given $V \in \mathcal{V}_n$, $W = \psi_n(V) \in \mathcal{W}_n$, and $j \le n$, choose closed discrete sets $A'(j) \subset A_j \cap V$ and $B'(j) \subset B_j \cap W$ each of cardinality τ such that $(A^i_j \cap V) \setminus F_n \subset A'(j)$ and $(B^i_j \cap W) \setminus E_n \subset B'(j)$ for every $i \le n$. Fix a bijection $g_j\colon A'(j) \to B'(j)$. Under the conditions of the theorem, $A'(j_1) \cap A'(j_2) = \emptyset$ and $B'(j_1) \cap B'(j_2) = \emptyset$ whenever $j_1 \ne j_2$. We thus get a bijection $g\colon A' \to B'$, where $A' = \bigcup\{A'(j)\colon j \le n\}$ and $B' = \bigcup\{B'(j)\colon j \le n\}$. We omit further details of the proof. □

References

[1] Michael Hrušák and Beatriz Zamora Avilés, Countable dense homogeneity of definable spaces, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 133:11 (2005) 3429–3435.

[2] R. Hernández-Gutiérrez, M. Hrušák and J. van Mill, Countable dense homogeneity and λ-sets, Fund. Math., 226:2 (2014) 157–172.

[3] A. Medini, Countable dense homogeneity in powers of zero-dimensional definable spaces, arxiv 1408.2137v1 (2014).

[4] S. V. Medvedev, Metrizable DH-spaces of the first category, Topology Appl., 179 (2015) 171–178.

[5] R. Engelking, General topology, PWN, Warszawa, 1977.

[6] A. V. Ostrovsky, Continuous images of the product $C \times Q$ of the Cantor perfect set C and the rational numbers Q, in: Seminar on General Topology, Moskov. Gos. Univ., Moscow, 1981, 78–85 (in Russian).

[7] S. V. Medvedev, On properties of h-homogeneous spaces with the Baire property, Topology Appl., 159:3 (2012) 679–694.

[8] S. V. Medvedev, About closed subsets of spaces of first category, Topology Appl., 159:8 (2012) 2187–2192.

[9] B. Knaster and M. Reichbach, Notion d'homogénéité et prolongements des homéomorphies, Fund. Math., 40 (1953) 180–193.

[10] S. V. Medvedev, On the structure of metric h-homogeneous spaces, in: V. V. Fedorčuk, A. V. Arhangel'skii, V. I. Zaĭcev (Eds.), General topology. Mappings of topological spaces, Moscow State Univ. 1986, pp. 77–98 (in Russian).

[11] F. van Engelen, On the homogeneity of infinite products, Topol. Proc., 17 (1992) 303–315.

[12] A. H. Stone, Non-separable Borel sets, Rozpr. Matem., 28 (1962) 3–40.

South Ural State University, Chelyabinsk, 454080 Russia
E-mail address: medv@math.susu.ac.ru